1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA					
9	MYA M. TRACY, et al.,	Case No. 09-5588RJB				
0	Plaintiffs, v.	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART				
1	STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,	DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FOR FEES AND COSTS				
2	Defendants.					
3	MALACHI R. TRACY,					
5	Plaintiff,					
.5	v.					
6	STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,					
7	Defendants.					
.8	This matter comes before the Court on Def	endants' motions for attorneys' fees and costs				
20	(Dkts. 158, 162). The Court has considered the motion, responses, and the relevant documents					
21	herein.					
22	I. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND					
23	On July 31, 2009, Plaintiffs Mya Tracy and Malachi Tracy filed a complaint against					
24	Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Ho	olt, Jennifer Brown, Joan Moser, Multicare				

3

1

2

4

5 6

7

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Health System, and Jennifer Knight alleging violations of Plaintiffs' civil rights under §1983 and §1985, and alleging several state tort claims. Dkt. 1.

Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy's claims against Defendants Federal Way School District, Ms. Holt, Ms. Brown, and Ms. Moser arise from assistant administrator Jenna Brown's June 5, 2006 report to Child Protective Services ("CPS") following kindergarten student M.T.'s disclosure that he was being touched in his genital area by his brother Malachi Tracy. Dkt. 158, p. 2. M.T.'s disclosure to Ms. Brown occurred during a 15-minute conversation Ms. Brown had with M.T. when he was sent to her office as a result of a disciplinary issue involving another kindergarten student. Dkt. 158, p. 2-3. School Principal Diane Holt and M.T.'s kindergarten teacher, Joan Moser, did not discuss the topic with M.T. Dkt. 158, p. 3.

Ms. Brown and Ms. Holt were contacted by law enforcement regarding the disclosure. Dkt. 158, p. 3. Ms. Brown and Ms. Holt cooperated in providing answers to law enforcement's questions. Id. Principal Holt also received follow-up inquiries from CPS to which she responded. Id. Teacher Joan Moser did not have any involvement in the report to CPS and was not contacted by law enforcement or CPS.

Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy's claims against Defendants Multicare Health System and Jennifer Knight arise from the June 19, 2006, forensic interview of M.T. by Jennifer Knight, an employee of Multicare Health System at the time of the interview. Dkt. 162, p. 2.

On November 2, 2010, the Court issued an order granting Defendants' motions for summary judgment. Dkt. 154. The Court stated, in summary, that the Plaintiffs failed to support their allegations with evidence and failed to meet their burden on summary judgment to show a genuine issue of material fact. *Id*.

¹ Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jennifer Brown, Joan Moser, Multicare Health System, and Jennifer Knight

On November 16, 2010, Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown and Joan Moser filed a motion for attorneys' fees and expenses and mandatory statutory damages. Dkt. 158. Also on November 16, 2010, Defendants Jennifer Knight and Multicare Health System joined Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown and Joan Moser in their motion. Dkt. 162. The Court will consider the two motions (Dkt. 158 & 163) in this single order.

II. DISCUSSION

A. School Defendants' Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and Statutory Damages

Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown and Joan Moser are seeking (1) attorneys' fees for defending against Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy's federal claims pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988; (2) expenses for defending against Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy's federal claims pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(d)(2); and (3) attorneys' fees and expenses for Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy's state law claims pursuant to RCW 4.84.185. Dkt. 158, p. 2. Defendants Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser also seek statutory damages from Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy under RCW 4.24.510, the anti-SLAPP statute. Id. Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown and Joan Moser assert that fees and damages are proper because Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy's claims were groundless, frivolous, or unreasonable. *Id*.

1. Attorneys' Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988

42 U.S.C. §1988 provides that "[i]n any action or proceeding to enforce a provision of sections... 1983, [or] 1985... the court, in its discretion, may allow prevailing party... a reasonable attorney's fee as part of the cost...." "A district court may award attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988 to a prevailing civil rights defendant if the plaintiff's action was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

unreasonable, frivolous, meritless, or vexatious." *Franceschi v. Schwartz*, 57 F.3d 828, 832 (9th Cir. 1995)(internal citation and quotes omitted).

Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown and Joan Moser argue that Plaintiff Mya and Malachi Tracy's §1983 and §1985 claims were frivolous and without merit. Dkt. 158, p. 8. An action is frivolous if it lacks an arguable basis in fact or law. *Schutts v. Bently Nevada Corp.*, 966 F.Supp. 1549, 1556 (D. Dev. 1997), *citing Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). An action is meritless, "in the sense it is groundless or without foundation." *Elks National Foundation v. Weber*, 942 F.2d 1480, 1485 (9th Cir. 1991).

In this case, Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy advanced a legal position which was seeking to extend existing law regarding their §1983 claims. It is difficult to say that the claims were without foundation or lacked an arguable basis in fact or law. While it is a close question, the Court should find for Plaintiffs on this issue because the Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, and Jenna Brown have failed to prove that Plaintiffs' §1983 claims were entirely meritless or frivolous.

Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy, however, wholly failed to support their allegations against Teacher Joan Moser. Plaintiffs alleged that Joan Moser "deprived the Plaintiffs of their fundamental right to their child and brother's companionship, did wrongfully violate the well-established right of family unity." Dkt. 44, p. 11. Plaintiffs failed to present facts regarding Ms. Moser to support the allegation in their amended complaint. Plaintiffs failed to respond to arguments made regarding Ms. Moser in Defendants' motions for summary judgment. Finally, Plaintiffs failed to respond to Defendant Moser's arguments in her motion for attorneys' fees and costs. Plaintiffs have made no arguments regarding Ms. Moser and have not presented any evidence showing Ms. Moser is liable under any causes of actions stated in their complaint. For

these reasons, all claims against Ms. Moser were entirely groundless, frivolous, and unreasonable.

Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy also failed to support their allegations for violation of §1985 against Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown and Joan Moser. Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy alleged violations of their civil rights under §1985 by Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown and Joan Moser, but did not allege any "racial or class-based animus." Dkt. 44, p. 11-12. Plaintiffs failed to provide any evidence of "racial or class-based animus" in response to Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown and Joan Moser's motions for summary judgment. Dkt. 154, p. 19-20. Finally, Plaintiffs failed to respond to Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown and Joan Moser's arguments in their motion for attorneys' fees. Plaintiffs §1985 claims against Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown and Joan Moser were frivolous because it lacked any basis in fact or the law.

Attorneys' fees should be granted to Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser because Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy's §1985 claims and § 1983 claims against Defendant Joan Moser were frivolous.

Counsel for Defendants were Tyna Ek, Matthew Miller, and Nancy McCoid. Tyna Ek was lead counsel and her hourly rate was \$225. Dkt. 159, ¶1, 3. Matthew Miller's hourly rate was \$200. *Id.* Nancy McCoid's hourly rate was \$200. *Id.* Counsel did not itemize their hours by subject matter or task, so the Court is uncertain as to how many hours were spent on specific tasks. The issues involving Ms. Moser were not complex, but Counsel did respond to Plaintiffs' complaint, responded to discovery requests, and drafted a summary judgment motion and reply all regarding Plaintiff's §1983 claims against Ms. Moser. Counsel also responded to Plaintiffs'

complaint regarding Plaintiffs' §1985 claims, performed legal research regarding Plaintiffs' §1985 claims, and drafted a summary judgment and reply to an opposition to the summary judgment regarding Plaintiffs' §1985 claims. The Court finds that, based on a review of the record, a reasonable amount of time spent defending Ms. Moser is 8 hours and a reasonable amount of time spent researching and defending against Plaintiffs' §1985 claims is 8 hours; for a total of 16 hours. The Court calculates attorneys' fees as follows:

Attorney	Allotted Hours		Rate		Total
Tyna Ek	8	*	\$225	=	\$1,800
Matthew A. Miller	7	*	\$200	=	\$1,400
Nancy McCoid	1	*	\$200	=	\$200
			Total	=	\$3,400

The attorneys' fees should be apportioned as follows. Judgment should be entered in the amount of \$850 in attorneys' fees against Plaintiff Mya Tracy, in favor of Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, and Jenna Brown. Judgment should be entered in the amount \$850 in attorneys' fees against Plaintiff Mya Tracy, in favor of Joan Moser. Judgment should be entered in the amount of \$850 in attorneys' fees against Plaintiff Malachi Tracy, in favor of Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, and Jenna Brown. Judgment should be entered in the amount of \$850 in attorneys' fees against Plaintiff Malachi Tracy, in favor of Joan Moser.

2. Expenses pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(d)(2)

Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(d)(2) states that a "claim for attorneys' fees and related nontaxable expenses must be made by motion...." The Court does not address attorneys' fees incurred defending Plaintiffs' federal claims under Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(d)(2) because they were granted under 42 U.S.C. §1988. Local Rule CR 54 states that "[a]ll costs shall be specified, so that the nature of the charge can be readily understood."

Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser provide a table summarizing their expenses by billing period and category. Dkt. 159, p. 7. The Defendants do not show why these expenses were incurred and do not provide supporting documentation. The Court is uncertain whether these expenses were incurred in performing discovery, drafting motions, or advising clients. The Court is unable to attribute expenses incurred in defending Ms. Moser or defending against Plaintiffs' §1985 claims and is unable to determine if the expenses were reasonable and necessary. Therefore, Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser request for expenses should be denied.

3. Attorneys' Fees and Expenses Pursuant to RCW 4.84.185 for State Law Claims RCW 4.84.185 states:

In any civil action, the court having jurisdiction may, upon written findings by the judge that the action, counterclaim, cross-claim, third party claim, or defense was frivolous and advanced without reasonable cause, require the nonprevailing party to pay the prevailing party the reasonable expenses, including fees of attorneys, incurred in opposing such action, counterclaim, cross-claim, third party claim, or defense.

Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser argue that Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy's State law claims were frivolous and without merit. Dkt. 158, p. 8. Plaintiffs failed to survive summary judgment because they did not make an adequate showing. It is, however, arguable that Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy had reasonable claims against Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, and Jenna Brown for violations of State law. It is not arguable that State claims against Defendant Joan Moser were not frivolous.

Plaintiffs failed to present facts regarding Ms. Moser to support their allegations in their amended complaint. Plaintiffs failed to respond to Defendants' motions for summary judgment regarding Ms. Moser. Finally, Plaintiffs failed to respond to Defendant Moser's arguments in

her motion for attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs. Plaintiffs have made no arguments regarding

Ms. Moser and have not presented any evidence showing Ms. Moser is liable under any causes of actions stated in their complaint. For these reasons, the State law claims against Ms. Moser were frivolous, and Defendant Joan Moser is entitled to attorneys' fees and expenses under RCW 4.84.185.

As noted above, Defendants' Counsel has not provided the Court with a detailed accounting of attorneys' fees and expenses. The Court finds that a reasonable amount of time spent in defending Defendant Joan Moser against Plaintiffs' State law claims is 8 hours. The issues involving Ms. Moser were not complex, but Counsel did respond to Plaintiffs' complaint, responded to discovery requests, and drafted a summary judgment motion and reply. Attorneys' fees are calculated as follows:

Attorney	Allotted Hours		Rate		Total
Tyna Ek	4	*	\$225	=	\$900
Matthew A. Miller	3.5	*	\$200	=	\$700
Nancy McCoid	0.5	*	\$200	=	\$100
			Total	=	\$1,700

Judgment should be entered in the amount of \$850 in attorneys' fees against Plaintiff Mya Tracy, in favor of Defendant Joan Moser. Judgment should be entered in the amount of \$850 in attorneys' fees against Plaintiff Malachi Tracy, in favor of Defendant Joan Moser.

The Court is unable to determine which expenses may be attributed to the defense of Defendant Joan Moser and whether they were reasonable and necessary. Therefore, the Court does not award expenses.

4. Statutory Damages Pursuant to RCW 4.24.510 – Anti-SLAPP Statute

Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser assert that they are entitled to fees, expenses, and statutory damages under RCW 4.24.510. Dkt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

158, p. 7. Plaintiffs state that Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser did not act in good faith and, therefore, the lack of good faith acts as a bar against the application of RCW 4.24.510. Dkt. 165.

RCW 4.24.510, the anti-SLAPP statute, provides that a "person who communicates a complaint or information to any branch or agency of federal, state, or local government... is immune from civil liability for claims based upon the communication to the agency or organization regarding any matter reasonably of concern to that agency or organization." Further, a "person prevailing upon the defense provided for in this section is entitled to recover expenses and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in establishing the defense and in addition shall receive statutory damages of ten thousand dollars." RCW 4.24.510. "Statutory damages may be denied if the court finds that the complaint or information was communicated in bad faith." *Id*.

A government agency is not a "person" under RCW 4.24.510. Segaline v. Department of Labor and Industries, 169 Wash.2d 467, 473 (2010). Defendant Federal Way School District is not entitled to statutory damages or attorneys' fees and expenses under RCW 4.24.510.

Defendants Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser argue that since they were sued in their individual capacity that they are "persons" under RCW 4.24.510 and, therefore, entitled to statutory damages, attorneys' fees, and expenses. Segaline, however, did not state that government employees performing their governmental duties and sued in their individual capacity may recover under RCW 4.24.510. The Court declines to extend the law without justification.

Additionally, Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser were defended by the same counsel. This would imply that the Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser were acting in their official capacity with the Federal Way School

District and, therefore, not protected under RCW 4.24.510. Defendants Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser also have not shown that they would have been exposed to individual liability and have not shown that RCW 4.24.510 should apply. For the foregoing reasons, Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser may not recover statutory damages or attorneys' fees and expenses under RCW 4.24.510. The Court need not address the parties' arguments regarding a finding of good faith since the Court has found RCW 4.24.510 does not apply.

5. Summary

Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, Jenna Brown, and Joan Moser are denied costs and expenses.

Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, and Jenna Brown are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$1,700 for the defense of Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, and Jenna Brown against Plaintiffs' \$1985 claims. Half the award should be against each Plaintiff; \$850 against Plaintiff Mya Tracy and \$850 against Plaintiff Malachi Tracy.

Defendant Joan Moser is awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$1,700 for the defense of Ms. Moser against Plaintiffs' \$1983 claims. Half the award should be against each Plaintiff; \$850 against Plaintiff Mya Tracy and \$850 against Plaintiff Malachi Tracy.

Defendant Joan Moser is also awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$1,700 for the defense of Ms. Moser against Plaintiffs' State law claims. Half the award should be against each Plaintiff; \$850 against Plaintiff Mya Tracy and \$850 against Plaintiff Malachi Tracy.

B. Defendant Knight and MHS's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Defendants Knight and Multicare Health System ("MHS") are requesting attorneys' fees,

expenses, and costs under Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(d)(2), 42 U.S.C. §1988, and RCW 4.84.185. Dkt. 162, p. 1. Defendants Knight and MHS argue that Plaintiffs Mya and Malahci Tracy's claims were frivolous. Dkt. 162.

1. Attorneys' Fees

Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy argue that attorneys' fees should not be granted because their §1983 claims and State law claims were not frivolous. Dkt. 169, p. 8. Plaintiffs make no response to Defendants Knight and MHS's arguments regarding Plaintiffs' §1985 claims.

As noted above, it is arguable that Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy's §1983 and State law claims were frivolous. The Court finds that Plaintiff Mya Tracy's and Plaintiff Malachi Tracy's §1983 claims were not frivolous.

Plaintiffs, however, have entirely failed to support or advance their §1985 claims. As noted above, Plaintiffs did not support their §1985 claims with facts or allegations of racial or classed based animus in their complaint. Plaintiffs failed to respond to arguments made by Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS in their motion for summary judgment. Finally, Plaintiffs' failed to respond to arguments made by Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS in their motion for attorneys' fees. Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy's §1985 claims against Defendants Knight and MHS were frivolous and unreasonably advanced. Attorneys' fees should be granted in Defendants Knight's and MHS's favor, and against Plaintiff Mya and Malachi Tracy pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988.

David Corey is one attorney defending Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS. Dkt. 162, ¶ 2. Defendants' counsel does not state who else provided for the defense of Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS. Counsel also fails to specify the exact rate at which clients were billed.

Counsel only states that the firm's hourly rates are: \$200- \$210per hour for partners and \$180 per hour for associates. Dkt. 162, ¶ 5. Given the ambiguity of who performed what tasks and in what amount, the Court finds that a reasonable rate is \$190 per hour. As noted above, the Court finds that a reasonable number of hours needed to defend against Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy's § 1985 claims is 8 hours. Therefore, the calculation for attorneys' fees is as follows:

Attorney	Allotted Hours		Rate		Total
Defendant Jennifer Knight and MHS's Counsel	8	*	\$190	=	\$1,520
			Total	=	\$1,520

Attorneys' fees should be entered in the amount of \$1,520 against Plaintiffs Mya and Malachi Tracy, in favor of Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS.

2. Costs

Plaintiffs argue that costs should not be granted because Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS failed to follow appropriate procedure under Local Rule CR 54. Plaintiffs also argue that Plaintiffs should not be taxed costs because of their limited financial means, because of the chilling effect such taxation would have on future plaintiffs, because the good faith of the Plaintiffs' litigation, and because of the closeness of the issues. Dkt. 169, p. 5.

Local Rule CR 54 states that "[a]Il costs shall be specified, so that the nature of the charge can be readily understood." Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS have not adequately detailed costs in this matter. Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS only state that they have incurred costs and expenses in the amount of \$4,554.45. Dkt. 162, p. 11. The Court is uncertain as to whether or not these costs were necessarily incurred in this action. The expenses are merely a sum with no further detail. Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS's motion for costs should be denied because they are not in accordance with local rule CR 54 and the Court is unable to determine if they are reasonable and necessary.

3. Summary

Defendants Jennifer Knight's and MHS's request for costs and expenses should be denied. Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$1,520 for the defense of Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS against Plaintiffs' \$1985 claims. Half of the total attorneys' fees should be attributed to each Plaintiff. Judgment should be entered in the amount of \$760 against Plaintiff Mya Tracy in favor of Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS. Judgment should be entered in the amount of \$760 against Plaintiff Malachi Tracy in favor of Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS.

III. ORDER

The Court does hereby find and **ORDER**:

- (1) School Defendants' Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and Mandatory Statutory Damages (Dkt. 158) is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART**;
 - (a) Judgment is entered in the amount of \$850 against Plaintiff Mya Tracy in favor of Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, and Jenna Brown;
 - (b) Judgment is entered in the amount of \$1,700 against Plaintiff Mya Tracy in favor of Defendant Joan Moser;
 - (c) Judgment is entered in the amount of \$850 against Plaintiff Malachi Tracy in favor of Defendants Federal Way School District, Diane Holt, and Jenna Brown;
 - (d) Judgment is entered in the amount of \$1,700 against Plaintiff Malachi Tracy in favor of Defendant Joan Moser;
- (2) Defendant Knight and MHS's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs (Dkt. 162) is

 GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART;

1	(a) Judgment is entered in the amount of \$760 against Plaintiff Mya Tracy in
2	favor of Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS;
3	(b) Judgment is entered in the amount of \$760 against Plaintiff Malachi Tracy in
4	favor of Defendants Jennifer Knight and MHS; and
5	(3) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to all counsel of record and any
6	party appearing <i>pro se</i> at said party's last known address.
7	
8	DATED this 27th day of December, 2010.
9	Alas
10	Maker & Duyan
11	ROBERT J. BRYAN United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	